DEMAND FOR HEARING-1

25

LAWYERS

the provisions of Chapter 48.04 RCW, and Title 34 RCW. The Respondents request that the hearing of this matter be presided over by an administrative law judge or hearing officer assigned under Chapter 34.12 RCW. This Demand for Hearing and request for administrative law judge are made pursuant to RCW 48.04.010.

The acts by which the Respondents are aggrieved and the grounds which will be relied

the event of failure to comply with said Order, hereby demand a hearing in accordance with

The acts by which the Respondents are aggrieved and the grounds which will be relied on as a basis for relief by the Respondents are set forth as follows:

- 1. The OIC alleges that the "Respondents have issued and written insurance and transactive insurance in the state of Washington." These allegations are false.
- 2. The OIC further alleges that the "Respondents have not been granted a certificate of authority to act as an insurer or self-funded multiple-employer welfare arrangement in Washington." These allegations appear to be based upon inadequate information and investigation on the part of the OIC and its representatives. Had the OIC properly investigated this matter, the OIC would have learned that the Company does not conduct business as an insurance company, nor does it transact the business of insurance in the state of Washington or any other state. The OIC's allegations are intended to harm the Company in its business and reputation, and therefore, are arbitrary and capricious, and without sufficient foundation as to truth.
- 3. The OIC further alleges that certain Individuals are not properly licensed to conduct business as insurance agents in the state of Washington. These allegations are either false or without proper basis and, therefore, are intended only to harm the Individuals in their reputation and business.

DEMAND FOR HEARING-2

LAWYERS

- 4. The OIC further alleges that the Respondents have engaged in conduct that the OIC asserts is without "economic substance or legitimate business purpose, and are sham transactions designed solely to avoid state insurance laws." The OIC further alleges that "These false and misleading representations were intended to induce Washington residents to purchase the insurance product sold by the Respondents." These allegations made by the OIC are false and without legal or factual support, and are clearly intended only to harm the business and reputation of the Respondents. The acts of the OIC constitute an attempt to defame the Respondents and such acts by the OIC are not only arbitrary and capricious but are also legally and factually groundless and malicious.
- 5. The Respondents do not provide insurance as defined under Washington law nor do they solicit insurance within the state of Washington. The OIC's Order with respect to these allegations is without merit and is unsupported in law and in fact.
- 6. The OIC's actions in issuing its Order deprived the Respondents of property and other valuable rights, and impair or threatened to impair, the ability of the Respondents to conduct their legitimate business, all without due process of law.
- 7. The OIC's actions are, therefore, arbitrary and capricious.
- 8. The OIC's actions constitute a finding of fault, statutory violation, and potential legal liability on the part of the Respondents without an adequate hearing before an impartial trier of fact and law. Further, the OIC's actions constitute a real and continued threat to the Respondents in their business by

1

22

23

24

25

26

DEMAND FOR HEARING-3

DEMAND FOR HEARING.4

26

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18 19	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	

WHEREFORE, the Respondents, and each of them, pray that the hearing officer declare and order:

- That the Order to Cease and Desist issued by the Officer of Insurance Commissioner in matter number D 05-364 be declared invalid and that the Order be vacated;
- That the Officer of Insurance Commissioner be instructed to reframe from all attempts to exercise regulatory jurisdiction over the Respondents with respect to the conduct of the Company in this state;
- 3. That the Respondents are entitled to such other and further relief as the hearing officer may deem appropriate under the circumstances.

DATED this 9th day of September, 2005.

Evolution Services, Inc., William J. Miller, Stanton L. Call, William R. Owens, Barbara Miller, John C. Rivera, Jesse C. Rivera, Lisa A. Coleman, David Emerson Lee, M.D., Kenneth Kaiser, Sr. and Chris Komarzec

Brian F. Kreger WSBA #10670

Attorneys for Respondents

RYAN, SWANSON & CLEVELAND, PLLC 1201 Third Avenue, Suite 3400 Seattle, Washington 98101-3034 Telephone: (206) 464-4224 Facsimile: (206) 583-0359

Facsimile: (206) 583-03 kreger@ryanlaw.com

428725.01

25

26

DEMAND FOR HEARING.5